

May 20, 1975

I've been here. Last week I think he said that you don't know anything of what you're doing. You didn't understand taxes, you didn't understand what you're spending, and now you don't understand penal reform. I think it's time for the Legislature to tell the executive in no uncertain terms that we understand it and we're going to do it as we see fit because that's the legislative responsibility. Now if he doesn't like it and he wants to participate in here, he can resign and not run for the United States Senate, but he can get himself 30,000 friendly folk and take anyone of these seats that he wants to. I ask you to override.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I join in asking you to reject the veto of the Governor. I think he's just plain wrong in his reasoning and his approach to this entire issue, wrong on a number of points. His reaction to cost I think was a very definitely wrong reaction. He's pulling a figure out of the air that ends up being a cost of \$32,500 per inmate for construction costs. This is a figure that has never been used by anybody building any kind of a prison facility. The National Clearing House for Criminal Justice Planning and Information estimates a maximum of \$25,000 so I don't even know where he gets his figure. I think it was deliberately overdrawn in terms of population. According to the population estimates that the Department of Correctional Services has developed the 300 facility that is proposed in the Governors alternative is inadequate. They estimate that there are well over 400, possibly over 500, people who are suitable for minimum-medium corrections. Three hundred is going to require the Governor, if he were to have that facility, to come back for additional expansion. That cannot be the end of his own particular development in this area. In terms of time the proposal that we have developed on the floor, the Judiciary Committee has developed, will have 375 beds available in approximately the same length of time that the Governors proposal would have had 300 available. We're actually proposing an improved alternative. In terms of final cost, I'm glad that Senator Luedtke emphasized this in his comments, the final cost is going to be determined by whether this guy that's in the minimum-medium security facility comes back or not. You warehouse these people in a bigger institution that can't get the job done. If he comes back that is not reducing cost. The only sure way to get something done this year is to override. I hope that all of you who voted for the bill, for voted for the A bill when it was passed on Final Reading will continue to do so. This is the way to get something done. This is the right thing to do.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp. Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, as one who is quite new here and not well acquainted with the infighting that goes on between the Legislature and the